

Diversity and Interoperability of Repositories in a Grid Curation Environment

6th July, Madrid, Open Repositories 2010

Jens Ludwig ludwig@sub.uni-goettingen.de SUB Göttingen

Andreas Aschenbrenner, SUB Harry Enke, AIP Thomas Fischer, SUB SPONSORED BY THE



Federal Ministry of Education and Research



- WissGrid is part of the German National Grid Initiative (D-Grid)
- D-Grid covers a wide range of academic disciplines and industrial partners
- WissGrid's objective: represent academic user interests (negotiate with alliance of computer centers and industry)



Establish long-term sustainable

- organisational and
- technical

D-Grid infrastructure for the academic world

Three areas of work (aka work packages):

- Operational model for academic grid users
- Blueprints for new community grids
- Long-term preservation of research data



The WissGrid Partners

The partners of WissGrid are representatives of five established academic grid communities:

- HEP-Grid: high energy physics
- TextGrid: humanities
- C3-Grid: climate sciences
- Medi-Grid: medicine
- AstroGrid-D: astronomy

But new communities like social sciences, bio statistics, photon sciences, etc play also a significant role in WissGrid.



Coming from a library world: How to improve the data management situtation of research data? In a variety of disciplines?

Prerequisites of communities vary considerably:

- existing large-scale data repositories vs no repository
- homogeneous data vs heterogeneous data
- immutable data vs changable and erasable data
- open access data vs personal and sensitive data
- specialized expertise since decades vs no knowledge



- Every community should benefit without having to adopt everything.
- Provide cross-disciplinary and generic data curation tools and offer basic data curation blueprints.
- Adapt and combine a basic set of tools for the D-Grid environment (Fedora, iRODS, DCache, JHove2, ...).
- Respect diversity of systems and foster interoperability!



But before we could start:

We had to settle with a variety of disciplines on a common terminology and common concepts.







For the individual levels:

- Bitstream Preservation: advocate and define requirements
- Content Preservation: adapt generic tools to D-Grid environment (JHove2 for format characterization, conversion services, ...)
- Data Curation: needs to be dealt with on a userspecific level, provide guidelines and consultancy

Encompassing all levels: Repositories

- Storage
- Technical services
- Metadata/intellectual modeling



We surveyed our target communities. All development plans were welcomed. The "worst" result was that of 11

- only 3 wanted to adopt fully and
- only 4 wanted to adopt partially a specific development.

Not surprising: Established communities showed

- slightly less interest in tools and
- clearly less interest in repositories than new communities.



Grid-Repository Integration Patterns

Core of WissGrid's agenda:

- Integration of repository systems into grid research environments of the communities
- by providing standard software packages for different repository/curation purposes.

We see five variants:

- Repositories as archive backends for the grid (resp. a compute grid for repositories)
- 2. Data grid as repository storage
- 3. Virtualization of repositories (aka federation)
- 4. Embedding of repositories in scientific workflows (trivial, omitted)
- Repository modules integrated in grid technologies (like on-the-fly virtual repositories, too complex, omitted)



Grid-Repository Pattern: Compute Grid (Repositories as Archive Backends for the Grid)

- Scientific applications process data in the grid environment and archive it in the repository
 or similiar
- digital objects are managed in digital repositories and the grid is used for computation.



Considerations:

WissGrid

- Repository needs standard (grid) interfaces for the data to be searched, extracted, written, ...
- Mapping of rights between grid and repository
- Data to the services vs services to the data





Grid-Repository Pattern: Storage Grid

- Digital objects are managed in digital repositories and the grid is used for storage
- Rationale: External storage provider is more efficient (and maybe offering replication, scalability, integrity checks?)



Considerations

- Security for data in the grid, bitstream preservation, SLAs (Service Level Agreements), mapping of rights between grid and repositories
- Data could/should be accessible directly through grid mechanisms (syncronisation and security issues).





Grid-Repository Pattern: Federation

- Federation of distinct data sources that (already) exist within a single community or multiple communities.
- Not grid in a narrow sense (virtualization of services instead of hardware resources)



Considerations:

- uniform metadata profiles, common interfaces, central services, ...
- very discipline specific



Repositories as archive backends:

- use iRODS as repository
- extend iRODS with CQL/OpenSearch, OAI-ORE export, OAI-PMH, grid storage interfaces (SRM), bitstream preservation
- rights management (GSI, Grid Security infrastr.)
 Storage Grid:
- use Fedora/iRODS (adapting community efforts)
- rights management (GSI, x.509 certificates)
 Federation:
- no implementation, only guidelines
- but: federation of repositories from above packages might be easier...



Thank you!